

Application Note

AN2617
Rev. 0, 2/2004

*A Software Interrupt Priority
Scheme for HCS12
Microcontrollers*

By **Grant M More and Daniel Malik**
Applications Engineering,
Motorola, East Kilbride

Introduction

The HCS12 series of microcontrollers provides an abundance of interrupt sources and discrete vectors to allow handling of each of the interrupts. However, by default, nested interrupts are not permitted. Although it is possible for nested interrupts to be enabled, any new interrupt request will interrupt a presently active service routine. This is undesirable, as any interrupt service routines that are designated critical can be interrupted by any other interrupt service request. If this is unacceptable, it is necessary for interrupts to be prioritized. This is not supported in hardware on the HCS12 series (it is supported on the HCS12X), but can be easily implemented in software.

This application note describes a priority scheme that can be used to assign priorities to interrupt sources, and to schedule the interrupts based on the assigned priority. This includes the provision for an interrupt of a higher priority halting interrupts of a lower priority, assuming core processing time and returning control to the lower priority interrupt after the higher priority service routine has been completed.

Overview of HCS12 Hardware Interrupt Handling Capability

This section summarizes the hardware interrupt handling capabilities of the HCS12 microcontroller. A degree of background knowledge of the hardware scheme is necessary to understand the implementation of the software scheme. Full details of exception processing can be found in the appropriate core user guide documentation.

Interrupt Sources

Interrupts are handled by the microcontroller, according to the definition of the source. Exceptions are defined as resets, software interrupts, or interrupt

requests. The XIRQ non maskable interrupt is a special case and is defined separately.

Reset Interrupts

The resets are defined as: (1) the reset vector; (2) the computer operating properly (COP) reset vector; and (3) the clock monitor (CM) reset vector. These are non maskable and will always be processed when requested.

Software Interrupts

The software interrupts are: (1) the SWI vector, requested when either a software interrupt instruction is executed or a BDM vector request is asserted (for example at a breakpoint); and (2) the unimplemented opcode trap vector, requested when a trap instruction is executed. Again, these requests are non maskable.

XIRQ Non Maskable Interrupt

The XIRQ is a single special case interrupt. This vector is maskable only with the X-bit in the condition code register (CCR). Full details can be found below.

Interrupt Requests

All other interrupts fall into standard interrupt request category. These interrupts are maskable with the I-bit in the CCR.

XIRQ – Non Maskable Interrupt

The XIRQ input is a special non maskable interrupt which is typically used to deal with major system failures, such as power failure. For this reason, it is assigned high priority and, once enabled by clearing the X-bit in the core condition code register, is capable of interrupting all other interrupt service routines on a hardware level and can only be disabled by a system reset. All interrupt sources are automatically disabled during the servicing of an XIRQ request. For this reason, if enabled, the XIRQ interrupt must be considered as an interrupt of the highest priority in any software based priority scheme — above the priority of the highest software assigned priority.

IRQ – Maskable Interrupts

Most interrupts on the HCS12 microcontroller fall into the maskable category. These interrupts are masked by the I-bit in the CCR and, by default, are not nested. The I-bit is automatically set during exception processing, when entering a service routine. This prevents any maskable service routine being interrupted by any other maskable routine. This is the default configuration. The I-bit can be manually cleared upon entry to the service routine, but then any maskable routine can interrupt, and no consideration is given to priority.

Having said that, maskable interrupts are configured on a priority launch scheme. If two or more interrupt requests are received concurrently, the request with the highest address (closest to \$FFFF) is serviced first. For example, if the IIC and IRQ interrupts are received simultaneously, the IRQ interrupt will be serviced first. However, the IRQ interrupt will not be able to interrupt the IIC interrupt if the IIC interrupt service routine is already in

progress when the IRQ interrupt is requested, unless the I-bit is cleared, and then any interrupt can interrupt any other interrupt.

HPRIO – Highest Priority I Interrupt Register

This register allows one interrupt request to be given priority over any other interrupt request. In practical terms, this means that the interrupt identified by this register will be serviced in preference to any other requests that are active simultaneously. However, this will not allow the identified interrupt to interrupt any other executing interrupt service routine. In other words, the high priority interrupt only allows prioritization of the interrupt request, not of the interrupt service routine.

Interrupt Scheduling

Default Strategy

As discussed, the default configuration of interrupts on HCS12 devices does not support interrupt prioritization or nesting. Interrupts of a higher priority cannot interrupt interrupts of a lower priority. The execution of the low priority interrupt must complete before the processing of the high priority interrupt can begin. This is shown in **Figure 1**.




Figure 1. Default HCS12 Interrupt Processing Strategy

In this example, the requirement for a prioritization scheme is clearly shown. The high priority interrupt, interrupt B, is delayed by low priority interrupt A before being executed.

Allowing Maskable Interrupts

The problem with simply enabling all maskable interrupts by clearing the I-bit is demonstrated in **Figure 2**. As can be seen, the high priority interrupt is interrupted by a low priority interrupt and again made to wait. The priority here is governed by the timing of the interrupt requests rather than the priority. In most applications this is an undesirable strategy.




Figure 2. Effect of Enabling Maskable Interrupts in Maskable Interrupt Service Routines

In order to prevent interrupts of a lower priority interrupting those of a higher priority, it is necessary for the low priority interrupts to be selectively disabled, based on their assigned priority.

The solution to this problem is to clear the maskable interrupt I-bit at the beginning of any interrupt service routine, allowing all interrupts, and to implement a selective interrupt enable scheme to only allow interrupts of a higher priority than the priority of the current interrupt. This is the idea behind the software interrupt priority scheme. An example of this strategy is shown in **Figure 3**.




Figure 3. Effect of Interrupt Priority Scheme Implementation

Setting the Priority Level

Two-level Priority Scheme

A simple interrupt priority scheme can be implemented very easily. The suitability of this approach will depend on the demands of the application. In this scheme, one interrupt can be assigned low priority; one or more can be assigned high priority. The I-bit is cleared in the low priority interrupt, allowing the high priority interrupt to interrupt it. (Note that the low priority interrupt can also interrupt itself – handling provision should be made if this may occur.) However, the I-bit is not cleared in the high priority interrupt service routine, preventing the low priority interrupt from interrupting.

Multi-level Priority Scheme

The implementation of a multi-level priority scheme takes a little more care, as more interrupts are inevitably used across an increased number of priority levels. A key feature of the HCS12 is that all maskable interrupts have local enable bits as well as the global mask bit in the CCR. The local enable bits are fundamental to assigning the interrupts to priority levels as discussed below. An example of the listing of the interrupt vectors and associated enable bits, as can be found in the device user guide, is shown in [Table 1](#).

Table 1. Example of Local Enable Bit Listing

| Vector Address | Interrupt Source | CCR Mask | Local Enable | HPRIO Value to Elevate |
|----------------|----------------------------------|----------|--------------|------------------------|
| \$FFEE, \$FFEF | Enhanced Capture Timer Channel 0 | I-bit | TIE (C0I) | \$EE |
| \$FFEC, \$FFED | Enhanced Capture Timer Channel 1 | I-bit | TIE (C1I) | \$EC |
| \$FFEA, \$FFEB | Enhanced Capture Timer Channel 2 | I-bit | TIE (C2I) | \$EA |

The global priority level is set and varied, by setting and clearing the local mask bits, as appropriate. For example, consider a priority scheme that requires three interrupt priority levels (and one for the non-interrupted standard program flow), and that has three interrupts, one on each priority level, as shown in [Figure 4](#).

| Priority Level | Interrupt | Local Mask Bit |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Zero (main flow) | None | N/A |
| One | Timer Channel 0 | TIE C0I |
| Two | Timer Channel 1 | TIE C1I |
| Three | Timer Channel 2 | TIE C2I |

Figure 4. Simple Priority Scheme Example

In order to move to an interrupt priority level, it is necessary to clear the mask bits relating to all the interrupts on the current priority level and below. This ensures that only interrupts of a higher priority than the current interrupt will be recognized. All interrupts that occur on any lower level will be ignored until the global priority level is lowered to the level below the assigned interrupt priority. For example, consider the above scheme when a timer channel two interrupt occurs. The global priority is set to level two by masking priority levels one and two using the C0I and C1I bits. Practically, this will only allow interrupts on level three to interrupt the timer channel 2 service routine.

Defining and Using Interrupt Priority Levels

Global Priority Level It is necessary to define a global priority level to determine which interrupts are enabled and disabled. The global priority level should be a global variable that stores the value of the current interrupt priority. Only interrupts of a higher priority can interrupt the current interrupt (or program flow). The priority level is a global concern, and should be set at the beginning of an interrupt service routine, before the I-bit interrupt mask is cleared. The initial priority level must be stored (before any priority level manipulation takes place) at the very beginning of any service routine and re-established at the end, to ensure that the previous priority level is resumed upon completion of the interrupt service routine.

Choosing Priority Levels The number of priority levels in a system should be chosen based on a number of factors, most of which are outwith the scope of this application note (for example, prioritization of service routines based on timing sensitivity of interrupt tasks). However, as a guide, the number of interrupt levels will never exceed the number of maskable interrupts plus one, as it is necessary to assign a priority level to any code that is executed outwith the interrupt service routines. (Do not forget that a higher priority level is also implemented if the XIRQ is enabled.) Secondly, for minimum latency and service time overhead, interrupts should be organized into the smallest number of priority levels possible. The reasons for this are described below.

Assigning Priority Levels to Interrupts Every enabled interrupt should be assigned to a priority level based on the various demands of the application. This will allow the relevant mask bits to be set and cleared when moving between priority levels.

Limitations and Timing Overhead

The implementation of this scheme involves an additional degree of latency in the processing of interrupts that are prioritized.




Figure 5. Timing Overhead Example

Consider the example shown in [Figure 5](#). This instance demonstrates what happens when three prioritized interrupts occur simultaneously. Interrupt A has a higher interrupt hardware priority than interrupt B, and interrupt B has a higher hardware priority than interrupt C. For this reason, interrupt A will be serviced by the hardware first, followed by interrupt B and then finally interrupt C. This will provide a worst case latency example, as the ISR of functions A and B must be entered, and the software priority level raised, before service routine C can be launched.

Fixed Overhead = Time For Exception Processing + Time To Process Priority Manipulation Code

Worst Case Overall Increased Interrupt Latency (in this example) = Time Spent In Interrupt A + Time Spent In Interrupt B

Time Spent In Interrupt A = Time to Finish Current Instruction + Fixed Overhead + (Number of Interrupts at Level 1 * Time Taken to Disable Each Interrupt)

Time Spent In Interrupt B = Time to Finish Current Instruction + Fixed Overhead + (Number of Interrupts at Level 1 and Level 2 * Time Taken to Disable Each Interrupt)

In the example code, the fixed overhead is 20 cycles. The time to disable each interrupt is 4 cycles. The worst case time to finish an instruction will be 13 cycles (for an EMACS instruction). Assuming there is only one interrupt on each of levels A and B,

Time Spent in Interrupt A (worst case) = $13 + 20 + (1 * 4) = 37$ cycles

Time Spent in Interrupt B (worst case) = $13 + 20 + (2 * 4) = 41$ cycles

Worst Cause Overall Increased Interrupt Latency = $37 + 41 = 78$ cycles

This is obviously a worst case value, assuming EMACS (extended multiply and accumulate) instructions are being executed in both interrupted service routines when interruption occurs. If a typical example is considered where four cycle BSET (bit-set) instructions are executed, the increased latency is reduced to 60 cycles. This is still worst case in terms of interrupt hardware priority.

Library Macro Files and Software Example

A set of library files have been prepared to allow this interrupt priority scheme to be easily incorporated into any application. The function of these library files is demonstrated in the software written to accompany this application note. The library files utilize macros that set the relevant bit masks based on the priority level. The software is configured as a macro to provide the most efficient implementation of the priority scheme. Please refer to the 'read me' file included with the software for further information about the function and configuration of the library files. The files can be simply copied out of the example software and used in any application requiring interrupt priority functionality.

The example software can be built and loaded directly into a 9S12Dx256 device (or any other HCS12 device, with some minor modifications). The software implements a three-level timer interrupt prioritization example, as described in [Figure 4](#). Each ISR contains identical code to set the associated port B line high, wait an identical time, and then set the port B line low. The example contains two demonstrations that can be switched between, by commenting and uncommenting code within the software project. Full details of the functionality of the software example can be found in the comments within the code. Brief descriptions of the functionality and expected results are given below.

Simultaneous Occurrence of Interrupts

The timer interrupts are configured to occur simultaneously by default in the example software. The interrupt processing priority is then arbitrated by the priority scheme software, and the highest priority interrupt is processed first.

Figure 6 shows the priority interpretation of this situation, using the priority decoding scheme.




Figure 6. Interrupt Priority Execution — Simultaneous Occurrence of Interrupts

NOTE: The in-built hardware priority of the interrupts used in Figure 6 is the opposite of the priority specified in this example. The software priority control routines must arbitrate the priority levels in order to execute the ISRs in the correct order, as specified by the software interrupt priority scheme.

Sequential Occurrence of Interrupts

The timer interrupts can be configured to occur in sequence by re-enabling the commented code in the setup routine. The interrupts are then triggered during the execution of the lower priority ISR (i.e. lowest priority first, middle priority next, highest priority last). Figure 7 shows the priority interpretation of this situation, using the priority decoding scheme.




Figure 7. Interrupt Priority Execution — Consecutive Occurrence of Interrupts

How to Reach Us:

Home Page:

www.freescale.com

E-mail:

support@freescale.com

USA/Europe or Locations Not Listed:

Freescale Semiconductor
Technical Information Center, CH370
1300 N. Alma School Road
Chandler, Arizona 85224
+1-800-521-6274 or +1-480-768-2130
support@freescale.com

Europe, Middle East, and Africa:

Freescale Halbleiter Deutschland GmbH
Technical Information Center
Schatzbogen 7
81829 Muenchen, Germany
+44 1296 380 456 (English)
+46 8 52200080 (English)
+49 89 92103 559 (German)
+33 1 69 35 48 48 (French)
support@freescale.com

Japan:

Freescale Semiconductor Japan Ltd.
Headquarters
ARCO Tower 15F
1-8-1, Shimo-Meguro, Meguro-ku,
Tokyo 153-0064
Japan
0120 191014 or +81 3 5437 9125
support.japan@freescale.com

Asia/Pacific:

Freescale Semiconductor Hong Kong Ltd.
Technical Information Center
2 Dai King Street
Tai Po Industrial Estate
Tai Po, N.T., Hong Kong
+800 2666 8080
support.asia@freescale.com

For Literature Requests Only:

Freescale Semiconductor Literature Distribution Center
P.O. Box 5405
Denver, Colorado 80217
1-800-441-2447 or 303-675-2140
Fax: 303-675-2150
LDCForFreescaleSemiconductor@hibbertgroup.com

Information in this document is provided solely to enable system and software implementers to use Freescale Semiconductor products. There are no express or implied copyright licenses granted hereunder to design or fabricate any integrated circuits or integrated circuits based on the information in this document. Freescale Semiconductor reserves the right to make changes without further notice to any products herein. Freescale Semiconductor makes no warranty, representation or guarantee regarding the suitability of its products for any particular purpose, nor does Freescale Semiconductor assume any liability arising out of the application or use of any product or circuit, and specifically disclaims any and all liability, including without limitation consequential or incidental damages. "Typical" parameters which may be provided in Freescale Semiconductor data sheets and/or specifications can and do vary in different applications and actual performance may vary over time. All operating parameters, including "Typicals" must be validated for each customer application by customer's technical experts. Freescale Semiconductor does not convey any license under its patent rights nor the rights of others. Freescale Semiconductor products are not designed, intended, or authorized for use as components in systems intended for surgical implant into the body, or other applications intended to support or sustain life, or for any other application in which the failure of the Freescale Semiconductor product could create a situation where personal injury or death may occur. Should Buyer purchase or use Freescale Semiconductor products for any such unintended or unauthorized application, Buyer shall indemnify and hold Freescale Semiconductor and its officers, employees, subsidiaries, affiliates, and distributors harmless against all claims, costs, damages, and expenses, and reasonable attorney fees arising out of, directly or indirectly, any claim of personal injury or death associated with such unintended or unauthorized use, even if such claim alleges that Freescale Semiconductor was negligent regarding the design or manufacture of the part.

